Rev. 2.0 — 5 July 2022

application note

#### **Document information**

Information	Content
Keywords	BLDC, PMSM, motor drive, simulation
Abstract	This application note provides an overview of electrical machine use in vehicles. PMSM and BLDC operating principles are detailed. A simple switch selection and switch loss estimation is provided along with simulation results to verify the calculations. An accompanying interactive version of this application note, including embedded simulations can be found on Nexperia.com



# 1. Introduction

With today's top of the range cars having more than 40 electrical machines, the global demand for motor drives rapidly rises. This is especially true for Brushless DC (BLDC) and Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor (PMSM) drives. BLDC and PMSM are essential parts of Hybrid Electric Vehicle (HEV) and Electric Vehicle (EV) propulsion systems towards which priorities globally seem to be directed. BLDC and PMSM drives are also employed where higher power and better regulation is needed in internal combustion vehicles. Some of the key automotive applications for electrical machines and drives are depicted in Fig. 1. Motor applications can be implemented in any of the three main categories shown:

- 1. Powertrain Energy related key aspect is Performance.
- 2. Chassis and Safety Safety and Comfort key aspect is Reliability.
- 3. Body control Ease of use and Lighting key aspect is Cost.



# 2. Electrical machines in automotive applications

Most of the electrical machines in vehicles are volume produced Brushed DC motors that do not have complex speed and torque control requirements. They can be employed in applications such as door locks, mirror folding, electrical seat adjustment and window motors.

The unidirectional and bidirectional Brushed DC motor drives are shown in Fig. 2. More information about these drives can be found in application notes <u>AN50004</u> and <u>IAN50004</u>.



Higher power and control complexity drives in today's vehicles are mostly realised with BLDC motors. Examples of such applications are water-pump, engine-cooling, anti-locking brake system, fuel-pump and electric steering. These motors, unlike the Brushed DC motors, do not need a physical connection to the rotor. This enables greater robustness, less maintenance, higher power and speed operations. Besides, as it can be seen from the drive configuration in Fig. 3 there are more MOSFETs employed in the drive compared to the Brushed DC motor. This enables current sharing across more devices, inherently increasing the power that can be delivered. As it will be shown later on, the switches operate in sequence, so that one of the three switching pairs does not operate at any instant, allowing the devices to cool. Also, the roles of the switching MOSFET in one phase and the conducting MOSFET (not switching) in the other active phase can be swapped. Both of the afore mentioned methods allow for better distribution of losses across the six MOSFETs, in turn enabling higher power margin up to the maximum die temperature.



Fig. 3. Three-phase BLDC and PMSM drive

Applications that require even higher powers such as the drives involved in the propulsion of the vehicle are mostly realised with PMSM drives. Some of these applications are Electric Power Steering, Starter/Alternator and Transmission pre-charge pumps. The noisier and higher torque ripple operation of the BLDC makes their employment in these applications undesirable. While the higher efficiency, higher power and torque density makes investment in the more expensive PMSMs justified for these applications.

Despite having the same drive structure as the BLDCs (shown in Fig. 3) the PMSMs have completely different modulation and control methods. In fact, even the machine structure is similar, with the difference being in the shape of the produced Back Electro-Motive Force (EMF) having trapezoidal shape with the BLDCs and near-sinusoidal with the PMSM machines.

In the area around a kilowatt of power, there is prospect for both the BLDC and PMSM applications to be designed. Therefore, a 1 kW, 48 V, 3 phase system for the two machine types will be investigated here.

## 2.1. PMSM drive theory

This section will explain in simple terms the main principles of PMSM drive. As the name suggests, the PMSM has a permanent magnet (or an array of them) mounted on its rotor. The stator creates a Tesla's rotating magnetic field. Thanks to the sinusoidal shape of the stator currents and a near-sinusoidal distribution of the stator winding, this field has a constant magnitude but its spatial angle is changed so that it rotates with a uniform speed around the stator circumference, somewhat like the arms of a clock. This magnetic field is the equivalent to having a magnet rotating along the internal stator circumference. Naturally, the magnet of the rotor would tend to align with the stator magnet, causing it to rotate along, at the same speed as the stator field, somewhat as it is shown in Fig. 4.



In order to achieve near-sinusoidal current in all machine phases, all three inverter legs are Pulse Width Modulated (PWM) modulated with sinusoidal reference signals. Sensitive and expensive encoders or resolvers are used to sense the exact rotor position in order to recreate the right phase of the reference sinusoidal supply voltages.

When driven in generator mode, torque is applied to the rotor, forcing it to rotate. This in turn rotates the magnets on the rotor, creating a rotating magnetic field sensed by the stator winding. Due to the sinusoidal spatial distribution of the stator magnets the rotating field induces sinusoidal voltages in the stator windings. If a load is applied to the stator connectors a current will flow through them. This can be seen in Simulation 1 of the interactive application note <u>IAN50009</u> as shown in <u>Fig. 5</u>.



### 2.2. BLDC drive theory

Similarly, to the PMSM the BLDC also has permanent magnets attached to its rotor. However, instead of a rotating field on the stator, the phases are subsequently abruptly energised to pull the rotor magnets forward. This results in a somewhat jerky motion of the rotor, which is filtered to some extent by the rotor and load inertia.

The principle is illustrated in Fig. 6.



In the first instance, the third inverter leg is idle while the current flows from the top switch of the first inverter leg through phase 'a' and phase 'b' in reverse direction and finally to the negative dc rail through the lower switch of the second leg. This corresponds to a south pole generated from phase 'a' and a north pole generated from phase 'b'. This driver state is assumed once 'H1' hall sensor starts sensing the north pole of the rotor.

Because of the construction of the stator and the rotor phase 'a' winding pulls the rotor north pole and pushes the rotor south pole away. This state is useful until just before the middle of the rotor south pole reaches the stator phase 'b' winding. At this instant H3 hall sensor starts sensing the rotor south pole and the drive configuration changes to the second state in Fig. 6 The currents through the windings are now such that the north pole is generated with winding 'c' rather than 'b', pushing the rotor north pole further along the stator inner circumference.

There are six such changes taking place in one revolution of the rotor, as shown on the right section of <u>Fig. 6</u>.

## 2.3. PMSM switch rating

When talking about a machine of certain power rating, it is assumed that we are talking about the mechanical power that the machine is capable of delivering on its shaft. For determining the switch rating of the drive, the input electrical power is needed. The difference between the input, electrical and the output, mechanical power are the losses incurring within the machine and drive. Throughout the machine, various losses appear due to magnetization, flow of electric current and mechanical movement of the rotor. These losses can be accounted for by the machine efficiency ( $\eta$ ). Therefore, the electrical input power can be expressed as:

$$P_{in} = \frac{P_{out}}{\eta} \tag{1}$$

The efficiency of the machine depends on the machine type and design quality. For induction machines of several hundred Watts it can go below 50%, while for high-power PMSMs it can reach 95%.

The input power is then expressed as the product of the phase voltage and current root mean square (rms) value:

$$P_{in} = 3V_{rms} I_{rms} Pf \tag{2}$$

The number 3 signifies that the drive is three-phase, while the power factor (*Pf*) is a measure of displacement in time of the voltage and current sinusoidal waveforms. The power factor, a measure inversely indicating the proportion of energy needed for magnetisation of the machine, can also take a broad range of values. PMSM have lower magnetisation requirement and therefore higher power factor values compared to induction machines. They can be driven from unity down to 0.85 power factor.

An inverter is used to drive a PMSM with PWM output voltage. This is illustrated in Fig. 7 The output voltage can take values of 0 V or  $V_{dc}$ . By applying longer pulses of  $V_{dc}$  the average value of the output voltage is increased. As the target (reference) output voltage should be of a sinusoidal shape, with a negative and positive half-cycle, there is no other way of realising it than by adding a dc bias of  $V_{dc}/2$  to the reference voltage. This will not transfer to the current flow, it will just raise the neutral point potential of the three-phase machine winding since the bias is applied to all three phases.



It is therefore clear that the maximal output voltage amplitude cannot be higher than  $V_{dc}/2$ . However, a certain amount of third harmonic (and its multiples) can be added to the sinusoidal reference. Once again, these harmonics are applied to all three phases, raising the voltage of all three in the same manner and therefore no third harmonic current will flow.

The third harmonic can be added in such a way that it decreases the maximum of the reference, allowing more headroom for the reference signal and increasing the modulation range (i.e. the maximum phase voltage applied) by an additional 15%. The same effect can be achieved by

calculating the average between the minimum and maximum references (out of the three available) and adding it to all three reference signals. The described method can be studied within Simulation 2 of the interactive application note <u>IAN50009</u> as shown in <u>Fig. 8</u>. If such reference signals are applied, the performance will be equivalent to space vector modulation.

![](_page_6_Figure_4.jpeg)

As the rms voltage is  $\sqrt{2}$  times smaller than the maximum voltage in sinusoidal waveforms, it can be obtained from the  $V_{dc}$  value as:

$$V_{rms} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{V_{dc}}{2} \ 1.15 = 0.813 \frac{V_{dc}}{2} \tag{3}$$

Next, from Eq.2 the current rms value can be expressed. Finally, the maximal value of the current is  $\sqrt{2}$  higher than the rms value:

$$I_m = \sqrt{2} I_{rms} \tag{4}$$

The chosen switch should have at least this current rating. In practise an overload factor of at least 20% is added as well as a safety factor of another 100%. The highest voltage the switch is expected to block is the supply voltage, in cases when the other switch in the same leg is conducting.

## 2.4. BLDC switch rating

BLDCs are mostly aimed at mid-power range applications where machine construction costs are reduced, sacrificing some of the machine efficiency.

As it is shown in Fig. 6 and its description, one of the three inverter legs is dormant while two are conducting. This means that the machine current along with a voltage up to  $V_{dc}/2$  is applied to a single phase of the drive  $2/3^{rd}$  of the reference period. As there are three phases the input electric power can be expressed as:

$$P_{in} = 3 \frac{2}{3} \frac{V_{dc}}{2} I = V_{dc} I$$
(5)

Considering that the current is not as uniform as seen in  $\underline{Fig. 6}$  a waveform derating factor (*wf*) of 20% is used to obtain the maximum current the switch shall bear.

$$I_m = 1.2 I \tag{6}$$

The maximum voltage that the switch should block is maintained at  $V_{dc}$ , as with the PMSM.

<u>Table 1</u> presents a comparison of the required switch ratings for a 1 kW drive. As it can be seen, the required current values of the switches are similar. The values for the voltage and current rms for the BLDC can be obtained in a similar fashion as for the PMSM, with the ratio between the rms and maximal values being instead of and without the 15% increase due to third harmonic injection.

	PSMN	BLDC	Source
P <sub>meh</sub>	1 kW		Application requirement
V <sub>bat</sub>	48 V .		Application requirement
P <sub>el</sub>	1.11 kW (η = 0.9)	1.17 kW (η = 0.85)	<u>Eq 1</u>
V <sub>rms</sub>	19.51 V	19.59 V	<u>Eq 3</u>
I <sub>rms</sub>	21 A ( <i>pf</i> = 0.9)	24.5 A	Eq 2 and Eq 5
I <sub>m</sub>	29.8 A	29.4 A ( <i>wf</i> = 1.2)	Eq 4 and Eq 6

#### Table 1. Switch rating comparison for 1 kW drive

# 3. PMSM and BLDC losses calculation

The expected current and voltage that the switches will endure is calculated in the previous section. However, as it is usually the case, the choice of MOSFETs is more constrained by the amount of losses they are designed to endure. The amount of losses is influenced by design requirements (conducted current, switching speed and frequency) or cooling arrangements of the devices.

In this section an explanation of how to calculate these losses will be provided. It needs to be noted that usually at motor control applications the switching frequency is set to be as low as possible as there is no incentive to have it higher. Most applications settle at 20-30 kHz, in order to avoid audible frequencies. It is beneficial to have the switching at lower frequencies so that its harmonics fade out on the frequency axis by the frequency where regulatory requirements start. By having the switching frequency low, the switching losses are not of great relevance in motor control applications.

## 3.1. PMSM conduction losses

The PMSM motor current is sinusoidal, with a certain switching frequency ripple superimposed. Let the period of the sinusoidal control signal be noted with  $T_c$ , while the switching period with  $T_s$ .

The current in positive direction will flow through the top MOSFET in the positive control half-cycle  $(T_c/2)$  during the duty cycle  $\delta$  in each switching interval  $T_s$ . In the negative control half-cycle  $(T_c/2)$  for a period (1- $\delta$ )  $T_s$  negative current will pass through the same switch. Since the top and bottom switches swap roles between control half-cycles  $(T_c/2)$  it can be concluded that during a whole control cycle  $(T_c)$  one control half-cycle worth of current passes through the switch. This discussion is illustrated in Simulation 3 of the interactive application note <u>IAN50009</u>, see Fig. 9.

![](_page_8_Figure_6.jpeg)

The current direction is not relevant because the square of the current is sought. The overall conduction losses can be calculated as:

$$P_{cond} = R_{DSon} I_{rms}^2 \tag{7}$$

Where the rms current value is of a half cycle, averaged over the whole cycle period:

$$I_{rms}^{2} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} i^{2}(\vartheta) d\vartheta$$
(8)

This is valid if the reverse voltage drop of the device is below the internal diode conduction threshold and dead-time is not accounted for. For a sinusoidal current with an amplitude  $I_m$ , the conduction losses come to <sup>[1]</sup>:

$$P_{cond} = R_{DSon} \frac{I_m^2}{4} \tag{9}$$

### 3.2. BLDC conduction losses

In BLDC drives the current flows through two inverter legs. In order to regulate the current flowing through the machine windings, at least one of the inverter legs needs to be modulated in order to maintain a desired current magnitude. When only one inverter leg commutates and the other is constantly conducting, the switching method is unipolar, when two legs commutate the switching method is bipolar, as shown in Fig. 10. The unipolar switching shown in Fig. 10 distributes the switching losses between the two FETs of an inverter leg. In both cases the upper and lower switch are being turned on in an alternating manner, to avoid diode loses in the synchronous FET.

![](_page_9_Figure_5.jpeg)

For the conduction losses, the switching mode does not seem to have much influence. The required rms current through the individual switches is the same in both cases. In the bipolar switching mode, looking at the A+, switching at a certain duty cycle  $\delta$ , a current of value I passes through it for a time  $\delta T_s$  ( $T_s$  being the switching period) in the first half cycle. In the second half cycle A- is switched with duty cycle  $\delta$ , while A+ is then switched on for  $(1 - \delta)T_s$ . Therefore, during the hole control cycle a single switch conducts the current equivalent of half a control cycle. Similar is the case with the unipolar switch mode, with the difference that half of the switching cycle the same switch conducts inherently.

Applying Eq 8 for a constant current *I* passing through the switch for one third of the switching period, the resulting conduction losses come to:

$$P_{cond} = R_{DSon} \frac{I^2}{3} \tag{10}$$

This conclusion applies when dead-times are very short and the current through the BLDC windings is well controlled to be close to DC with small ripples.

The unipolar method can be simplified, having only the top switches driven with PWM, while the bottom switch is turned on inversely for freewheeling. The respective bottom switches, belonging to the other conducting phase, are held constantly on during the time the current needs to flow through them. In this case uneven distribution of power losses is achieved in the top and bottom switches. The top switch is on for  $\delta T_s$ . The bottom switch conducts when it is freewheeling for  $(1 - \delta) T_s$  and for an additional third of the control period when it is held completely on. In this case the integral from Eq.8 results in:

$$P_{cond\_up} = R_{DSon} \frac{I^2}{3} \delta \tag{11}$$

$$P_{cond\_dn} = R_{DSon} \frac{I^2}{3} (1+1-\delta)$$
<sup>(12)</sup>

In all the switching methods, the diode of the MOSFETs will need to demagnetise the motor phase that stops conducting. The energy accumulated in the phase inductance will therefore be dissipated in each MOSFET diode in each control cycle:

$$E = \frac{1}{2} L I^2 \tag{13}$$

Where L is the machine phase inductance.

### 3.3. Switching losses

The switching energy losses can be estimated as:

$$E_{on} = V_{dc} I_{on} \frac{t_{sw(on)}}{2}$$

$$E_{off} = V_{dc} I_{on} \frac{t_{sw(off)}}{2}$$
(14)

Where  $t_{sw}$  can be approximated by:

$$t_{sw(on)} = \frac{sf \cdot Q_{GD}}{V_{gd} - V_{pl(Ion)}} R_G$$

$$t_{sw(off)} = \frac{sf \cdot Q_{GD}}{V_{pl(Ion)}} R_G$$
(15)

Where  $t_{sw}$  is the switching time,  $I_{on}$  is the on-state current,  $V_{gd}$  is the gate drive voltage, and  $R_G$  is the gate resistance.  $Q_{GD}$  – gate to drain charge and  $V_{pl(lon)}$  – gate plateau voltage at current  $I_{on}$ , can be read from the data sheet:  $Q_{GD}$  from tables and  $V_{pl(lon)}$  from the transfer characteristic graph (Fig. 11).

![](_page_10_Figure_12.jpeg)

In itself,  $Q_{GD}$  accounts for the voltage transient during the Miller plateau. The current transient that occurs before (turn on) or after (turn off) the Miller plateau is determined by part of  $Q_{GS}$ . It is accounted for by an approximated increase of the voltage transient by an additional 20 to 30%, represented by the scaling factor sf (sf takes value from 1.2 to 1.3). This percentage is dependent on technology used. The approximation is sufficient here as the switching losses are not expected to dominate because of the low switching frequency.

The on-state current is the average current in the case of the switching losses. For PMSM the average is calculated for half of the control cycle:

$$I_{avg} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} i(\vartheta) d\vartheta = \frac{I_m}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \sin(\vartheta) d\vartheta = \frac{I_m}{\pi}$$
(16)

This value can therefore be substituted in Eq 14 instead of  $I_{on}$  to obtain the switching energies. It is considered that the current ripple is negligible compared to the base value.

$$P_{sw} = \frac{f_{sw}}{2} \left( E_{on} + E_{off} \right)$$
(17)

In case of the BLDC the switching occurs around I, which needs to be placed in Eq 14:

$$I_{avg} = I \tag{18}$$

he obtained energy levels then need to be multiplied once again with the number of switching occurring in one half of the control period. Due to the device switching only  $1/3^{rd}$  of the half-cycle (Fig. 10 – Unipolar switching) this is expressed as  $1/3 \times 0.5 \times f_{sw}/f_{cc}$ .

$$P_{sw} = \frac{f_{sw}}{6} \left( E_{on} + E_{off} \right)$$
(19)

The switching at the negative half cycle is not accounted for in both cases as it is governed by diode switching and it can be omitted. The reason for this is that by the nature of the diode the voltage across it needs to decrease to nearly zero before it can take on any current, achieving nearly zero voltage switching. The diode also cannot start increasing the voltage across its connectors until the current has stopped flowing through it.

# 4. Switch selection

As noted, MOSFETs are usually chosen according to the amount of loss they are intended to dissipate.

Based on low switching frequency – 20 kHz is chosen – the conduction losses are expected to be dominant. At this point switching losses are estimated as 50% of conduction losses in PMSMs and 20% in BLDCs. With an allowance of 1.5% losses in the six switches the required  $R_{DSon}$  can be calculated from Eq.9 and Eq.10 as shown in Eq.20 and Eq.21, respectively. The maximum expected current amplitude can be read from Table 1:

$$R_{DSon} = \frac{4}{I_m^2} P_{cond}, P_{cond} = \frac{1}{6} \frac{0.015 P_{in}}{1.5}$$
(20)

$$R_{DSon} = \frac{3}{I_m^2} P_{cond}, P_{cond} = \frac{1}{6} \frac{0.015 P_{in}}{1.2}$$
(21)

This results in a  $R_{DSon}$  of 8.3 m $\Omega$  for PMSM and 8.5 m $\Omega$  for the BLDC. To allow for some headroom the BUK7Y7R8-80E is chosen. This is an automotive, 7.8 m $\Omega$ , 80 V, trench 6 technology MOSFET. The drain current of this MOSFET is given as 100 A, roughly 3 times higher than the maximum current needed for the application. This is usual and expected, as the I<sub>D</sub> rating of the MOSFETs is measured with its mounting base temperature held at 25 °C. Table 2 gives an overview of the expected switch performance for a gate drive voltage of 10 V and a gate resistance of 22  $\Omega$ .

Table 2. Expected switch performance			
	PSMN	BLDC	Source
Switch	BUK7\ <i>R<sub>DSon</sub> (typ) =</i> 80 V; <i>I<sub>D</sub></i> = 100	BUK7Y7R8-80E, <i>R<sub>DSon</sub> (typ)</i> = 5.8 mΩ; <i>V<sub>DS</sub></i> = 80 V; <i>I<sub>D</sub></i> = 100 A, <i>Q<sub>GD</sub></i> = 17 nC	
P <sub>cond</sub>	1.29 W	1.16 W	<u>Eq 9</u> and <u>Eq10</u>
Ion	9.94 A	24.5 A	Eq 16 and Eq18
V <sub>pl(lon)</sub>	4.7 V	5 V	Data sheet / Fig 8.
t <sub>sw_on</sub> , t <sub>sw_off</sub>	88 ns, 99 ns	19.59 V	<u>Eq 15</u>
$E_{on} + E_{off}$	21 µJ, 23 µJ	55 µJ, 55 µJ	<u>Eq 14</u>
P <sub>sw</sub>	476 mW	375 mW	Eq 17 and Eq 19
Ploss	1.77 W	1.54 W	P <sub>cond</sub> + P <sub>sw</sub>

# 5. PMSM and BLDC simulations

This section includes the drive loss simulation results from interactive application note <u>IAN50009</u>, for the PMSM (Simulation 4) and BLDC (Simulation 5 and Simulation 6).

In all simulations switches for one inverter leg have been monitored for energy losses. The electrical motor has been represented by its back EMF, phase resistance and leakage inductance. In the case of the PMSM only one inverter leg is modelled. For the BLDC simulation the switches in the two other inverter leg are replaced by ideal switches to shorten simulation time.

The total energy losses, the MOSFET conduction, turn on and turn off losses are then plotted and their end values displayed. These values should be divided by the simulation length (20 ms) to obtain the results from <u>Table 2</u>.

The results from simulation and calculations are compared in <u>Table 3</u>. Simulation 6 is not included in the comparison as the top and bottom switches have a different losses distribution between conduction and switching losses.

	PSMN		BLDC	
	Simulation results	Theoretical results	Simulation results	Theoretical results
Switch	BUK7Y7R8-80E: $R_{DSon}$ (typ) = 5.8 mΩ; $V_{DS}$ = 80 V; $I_D$ = 100 A, $Q_{GD}$ = 17 nC			
V <sub>cond</sub>	26.6 mJ / 20 ms = 1.33 W	1.29 W	23.8 mJ / 20 ms = 1.19 W	1.16 W
P <sub>sw</sub>	(3.5 mJ + 7.8 mJ / 20 ms = 565 mW	476 mW	(2.7 mJ + 4.3 mJ) / 20 ms = 350 mW	375 mW
P <sub>loss</sub>	1.89 W	1.77 W	1.54 W	1.535 W

#### Table 3. Simulated switch performance

![](_page_13_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

© Nexperia B.V. 2022. All rights reserved

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

# 6. Conclusion

In this application note an overview of electrical machine use in vehicles has been given. A more detailed look is then provided into PMSM and BLDC operating principles. A simple switch selection and switch loss estimation is provided along with simulation results to verify the calculations.

# 7. References

- J. W. Kolar, H. Ertl and F. C. Zach, "Influence of the modulation method on the conduction and switching losses of a PWM converter system," in *IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications*, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 1063-1075, Nov.-Dec. 1991, doi: 10.1109/28.108456.
- 2. Nexperia interactive application note IAN50009.

# 8. Revision history

Revision number	Date	Description
2.0	2022-07-05	Equation 17 and Equation 19 corrected.
1.0	2021-10-19	Initial version.

# 9. Legal information

#### Definitions

**Draft** — The document is a draft version only. The content is still under internal review and subject to formal approval, which may result in modifications or additions. Nexperia does not give any representations or warranties as to the accuracy or completeness of information included herein and shall have no liability for the consequences of use of such information.

#### **Disclaimers**

Limited warranty and liability — Information in this document is believed to be accurate and reliable. However, Nexperia does not give any representations or warranties, expressed or implied, as to the accuracy or completeness of such information and shall have no liability for the consequences of use of such information. Nexperia takes no responsibility for the content in this document if provided by an information source outside of Nexperia.

In no event shall Nexperia be liable for any indirect, incidental, punitive, special or consequential damages (including - without limitation - lost profits, lost savings, business interruption, costs related to the removal or replacement of any products or rework charges) whether or not such damages are based on tort (including negligence), warranty, breach of contract or any other legal theory.

Notwithstanding any damages that customer might incur for any reason whatsoever, Nexperia's aggregate and cumulative liability towards customer for the products described herein shall be limited in accordance with the Terms and conditions of commercial sale of Nexperia.

Right to make changes — Nexperia reserves the right to make changes to information published in this document, including without limitation specifications and product descriptions, at any time and without notice. This document supersedes and replaces all information supplied prior to the publication hereof.

Suitability for use — Nexperia products are not designed, authorized or warranted to be suitable for use in life support, life-critical or safety-critical systems or equipment, nor in applications where failure or malfunction of an Nexperia product can reasonably be expected to result in personal injury, death or severe property or environmental damage. Nexperia and its suppliers accept no liability for inclusion and/or use of Nexperia products in such equipment or applications and therefore such inclusion and/or use is at the customer's own risk.

**Applications** — Applications that are described herein for any of these products are for illustrative purposes only. Nexperia makes no representation or warranty that such applications will be suitable for the specified use without further testing or modification.

Customers are responsible for the design and operation of their applications and products using Nexperia products, and Nexperia accepts no liability for any assistance with applications or customer product design. It is customer's sole responsibility to determine whether the Nexperia product is suitable and fit for the customer's applications and products planned, as well as for the planned application and use of customer's third party customer(s). Customers should provide appropriate design and operating safeguards to minimize the risks associated with their applications and products.

Nexperia does not accept any liability related to any default, damage, costs or problem which is based on any weakness or default in the customer's applications or products, or the application or use by customer's third party customer(s). Customer is responsible for doing all necessary testing for the customer's applications and products using Nexperia products in order to avoid a default of the applications and the products or of the application or use by customer's third party customer's third party customer's hird party customer's. Nexperia does not accept any liability in this respect.

**Export control** — This document as well as the item(s) described herein may be subject to export control regulations. Export might require a prior authorization from competent authorities.

**Translations** — A non-English (translated) version of a document is for reference only. The English version shall prevail in case of any discrepancy between the translated and English versions.

#### Trademarks

Notice: All referenced brands, product names, service names and trademarks are the property of their respective owners.

# **List of Tables**

Table 1. Switch rating comparison for 1 kW drive	8
Table 2. Expected switch performance	13
Table 3. Simulated switch performance	
Table 4. Revision history	17

# List of Figures

Fig. 1. Key automotive applications for electrical machines and drives2
Fig. 2. MOSFET driven Brushed DC motor drive: a) unidirectional and b) bidirectional
Fig. 3. Three-phase BLDC and PMSM drive
Fig. 4. PMSM stator-rotor interaction4
Fig. 5. Simulation 1. PMSM in generator mode
Fig. 6. BLDC motor operation principle5
Fig. 7. Inverter leg with PWM output voltage6
Fig. 8. Simulation 2. Min-max injection modulation method. 7
Fig. 9. Simulation 3. PMSM drive switch current conduction
Fig. 10. Bipolar and unipolar switching of devices
Fig. 11. Reading Ion and Vpl(Ion) from the transfer characteristic
Fig. 12. Simulation 4. PMSM simulation with loss estimation
Fig. 13. Simulation 5. BLDC simulation with loss estimation; unipolar modulation; all switches PWM modulated
Fig. 14. Simulation 6. BLDC simulation with loss estimation; unipolar modulation; top switches PWM modulated, bottom switches kept on

# Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Electrical machines in automotive applications	2
2.1. PMSM drive theory	3
2.2. BLDC drive theory	5
2.3. PMSM switch rating	6
2.4. BLDC switch rating	8
3. PMSM and BLDC losses calculation	8
3.1. PMSM conduction losses	9
3.2. BLDC conduction losses	10
3.3. Switching losses	11
4. Switch selection	12
5. PMSM and BLDC simulations	14
6. Conclusion	16
7. References	17
8. Revision history	17
9. Legal information	18

© Nexperia B.V. 2022. All rights reserved

For more information, please visit: http://www.nexperia.com For sales office addresses, please send an email to: salesaddresses@nexperia.com Date of release: 5 July 2022